

**Audio Cassette No.70 at Kampil.**  
**Clarification of Murli dated 27.07.90 (for BKs)**

Page number 62 in register number 13. [This is] the morning *class* of the 27.07.90. The spiritual Father sits and explains to the spiritual children. The meaning of spiritual Father (*ruuhani Baap*) is not a point (*bindu*). Just the Point cannot explain either, because here the word 'sits' has been used. 'Sits' means He definitely sits in some body. And there is no question of standing, sitting, sleeping or running for the Point. The spiritual Father sits and explains to the spiritual children. Body conscious children can neither understand nor does the Father explain to them. When you explain to someone, first make it *clear* that there is one Father (*Baap*). You shouldn't ask, whether there is one Father or there are many. [If you ask] this, they will say there are many [Fathers]. You must say, "There is one Father, the Creator, *God* the *Father*, all the rest are [His] creation. There is one *Creator*, all the rest are the *creation*. He is the Father of all the souls. The one who is the Creator of all, there is no one who creates Him, and He is the one who creates everyone.

At first, you should not even say that He is a point; they will be confused about this. At first, explain properly that there are two fathers: the *lokik* (worldly) and the *parlokik* (from the world beyond). Everyone certainly has a *lokik* [father]. [The other,] some call Him Khuda<sup>1</sup>, [some call Him] *God*; He is the *parlokik* [Father]. There is only one and all of them remember only that One. First of all make them have the firm faith that the *Father* is the Creator of heaven. What is there to make firm? Because many people also think that the Father is just the One who narrates the knowledge (*gyan*). He goes after narrating the knowledge and we keep creating heaven. But no. Make them have the firm faith that the *Father* alone is the Creator of heaven.

The Father will come here to make us the masters of heaven. What does 'here' mean? Not in the subtle world. 'Here' means in this world. For what will He come? He will come to make us the masters of heaven. Definitely, heaven will be established, only then will He make us the masters of heaven. And, 'He **will** come, He **will** come here' means this is a *part* that will come in future, [it is a part] of the *future*, He indicated the *future*. It means, the body through which He is speaking, the body through which this *vani* was narrated, there is no establishment of heaven through him. So the Father will come here to make us the masters of heaven; this is also called '*Shivjayanti*' (the birthday of Shiva). What? When He makes us the masters of heaven, when He comes and makes us the masters of heaven, what will it be called? *Shivjayanti*. *Jay-jay kaar* (shouts of victory) of Shiva. Which *jay-jay kaar*? It is not a physical birth but it is the *jay-jay kaar* of the birth in the form of revelation. So when will He make us the masters of heaven? When will it be said *Shivjayanti*? If a birth in the form of revelation should be called *Shivjayanti*, when should it be said [to have happened]? In which year? Is it in 1936? It won't be called the complete revelation in 1936. Leave, 'the complete [revelation]', [but it will] not even [be called] the incomplete [revelation] because the Father remains hidden for the children when he sows the seed. The children don't know who the Father is and what He does.

So when will it be said [to be *Shivjayanti*]? Is it in 76? Did He make [anyone] the master of the world in 76? Did He make [anyone] the Creator of heaven? The Father will come here to make us the masters of heaven; this is also called *Shivjayanti*. So did He make

---

<sup>1</sup> term for God among the Muslims

[anyone] the master of heaven in 76? Yes, 'this will be called *Shivjayanti*'. What? The Father will come here to make us the masters of heaven; **this** is also called *Shivjayanti*. What is called *Shivjayanti*? It is when He makes us the masters of heaven. So when does He make that? Is it in 76? Is it in 96? So when will it be called *Shivjayanti*? Is it in 76 or in 96? In 76. Did He make us the masters of heaven? (Student said something.) Yes, He didn't make everyone the masters of heaven, but the one whom He makes [into the master] has this firm faith, 'Now I am going to become the master of heaven'. At first the faith will sit in the intellect and later there will be the revelation in the physical form in the whole world. So that is *Shivjayanti*, the year of the revelation of the Father. Who made the announcement of the year of the revelation of the Father? Neither the Brahmakumaris, nor the Brahmakumars, but avyakt Baapdada said that 76 is the year of the revelation of the Father. It is because the Father is revealed only by the foreigners. It was not about the foreigners of the outside world. It was not about pursuing those foreigners and serving them (*seva*). In reality, it is only the children of the Father, the ones who are going to become the beads of the rosary who can reveal Him. Only those who have recognised the Father properly can reveal [Him].

So, in 76, the seed form souls of the whole world, who are called the people of 'the third world'... Which is the first world? In the unlimited language it is the world of the five billion [souls]. Which is the second world? It is [the world] of the Brahmins who were born through the mouth of Brahma, that will be called 'the second world'; all the root soul Brahmins are included in it. And [which is] the third world? [It is the world of] the special souls chosen from among them, [the souls of the second world] who are going to become the beads of the *Rudramala* (the rosary of Rudra), they are the souls who are going to be kings for many births. They are the children of Rudra who takes on a ferocious form, the Father who establishes the *yagya* of knowledge. They are the *Rudragan* (followers of Rudra) who form the *Rudramala*. They are the chosen seeds of the whole world. So, the seed form children of the seed form Father reveal the Father. Even among them, who reveals Him?

The seeds of the foreign religions, because there are only four main religions: the Deity Religion, Islam, Buddhism and the Christian religion. The seed of the Deity Religion is the Father Himself. How will He reveal Himself? And there cannot be revelation if someone reveals himself. He who proves (*siddh*) himself can never become famous (*prasiddh*). So who reveal Him? The foreign children: the seed of Islam, the seed of the Christian religion, the seed of Buddhism. So in the tradition of the beginning of the world, four sons of Brahma are shown: Sanat, Sanatan, Sanandan, Sanatkumar. So Sanatkumar is shown as the eldest among the knowledgeable ones. He was the most knowledgeable one and was also called 'yogeshwar' (the Lord of the *yogis*). The one who is senior in making the *purusharth* (spiritual effort) of knowledge and yoga is said to be senior. Now, whether you give him the name 'Sanatkumar' or the name 'Shankar' later on, it is one and the same, because there will be only one Lord (Ishwar) of the *yogis*. 'Ishwar' means the one who rules. So, for that one, there is the topic of revelation, that 'the Father is revealed through the child.' But that is the form of the father himself since, Prajapita means the father.

So, the Father will come here to make us the masters of heaven; this is also called *Shivjayanti*. You children also know that the Creator of heaven creates heaven only in Bharat. He doesn't create heaven in foreign lands. There is no need to run around in those physical foreign lands in order to reveal that Father. He creates heaven only in Bharat, where there is the kingdom of deities. So, at first you have to give the introduction of the Father alone. His name is Shiva. In the Gita, it is [written] '*Bhagvaanuvac*' (God spoke), isn't it? First of all

by making them have faith you should make them write; what? What should you do first of all? You should give the introduction of the Father and you should make them have the faith that God of the Gita... who is He? Is He the incorporeal Shiva or the corporeal Krishna? Is He Brahma or is He the one who stays in the incorporeal *stage*? Because the incorporeal *stage* like that of the religious fathers is not visible in any of the photos of Brahma that were taken. There is the *stage* full of yoga but in the form of a mother. Just like the incorporeal *stage* of the religious fathers is shown, of Christ, of Mahatma Buddha, of Guru Nanak, just by seeing their face we come to know that it is as if those souls are not in this world. Where are they sitting? They are sitting in the Supreme Abode (*Paramdham*). So the incorporeal *stage* itself reveals the faces of those incorporeal religious fathers, [they tell us] that they are religious fathers. It is because the father is incorporeal and the mother is corporeal. So first of all, by making them have faith you should make them write this.

In the Gita God spoke: "I teach you Raja Yoga". Who is the one who says 'I'? Is it the Incorporeal One, the One in the incorporeal *stage* or the corporeal one? Definitely, He is the One in the incorporeal *stage*, the One who makes [us] incorporeal. What will the corporeal one make [us into]? The one who is corporeal will make [us] just corporeal and the One who stays in the incorporeal *stage* will make [us] incorporeal. The One who makes [us] incorporeal, He Himself will make [us] attain the *nihsankalpi stage* (stage beyond thoughts). The one who himself doesn't achieve the *nihsankalpi stage* cannot make others [achieve] the *nihsankalpi stage*, [he cannot make them into] the residents of the Supreme Abode.

So I teach you 'Raja Yoga', meaning I make you into Narayan from a man. Who can do this? Who can make [you] into Narayan from a man? Can any corporeal being make [you] into Narayan from a man? The one who is corporeal will himself be a man because all the human beings are body conscious; they are corporeal. So, how will a human being make another human being into Narayan? [How will he make him] into a soul conscious deity? No. It is the work of the Supreme Soul to make a human being into a deity. So, you will definitely have to explain: 'Who is God?' Then you also have to explain that the No.1 Lakshmi - Narayan of the Golden Age, they themselves will have 84 births. You don't have to tell them only about the Creator, what should you tell them? The No.1 creation, Lakshmi - Narayan will have 84 births. Later on, those of the other religions come. They cannot have so many births. Only those who come first have 84 births. The Lakshmi - Narayan who come later on in the Golden Age don't have 84 births. What was said? Is this topic *clear*? (Someone said something.) They will have a few births less because a few years were missing (from the Confluence Age). Well, he (Brahma Baba) left the body in 69, he is not going to get his own body [in the Confluence Age]. Where will he get a body? He will get a body after going to the Golden Age; he will be born in the form of a child. So the *gap* that existed till then, there is that much less from the complete 84 births, isn't there? So, it was said... because they too are *Chandravanshi*<sup>2</sup>. Those who are the *Suryavanshi* will be the ones who are born directly from the Sun, [they will have] the complete 84 births. The *Suryavanshi*, then the *Chandravanshi*, then the *Vaishyavanshi*<sup>3</sup>, then the *Islamvanshi*<sup>4</sup>, the *Christianvanshi*<sup>5</sup>, then the *Bauddhivanshi*<sup>6</sup>, they are *nambarvaar* (they come at different times). (To a student:) Yes,

---

<sup>2</sup> Those belonging to the Moon dynasty

<sup>3</sup> Those belonging to the Vaishya dynasty

<sup>4</sup> Those belonging to the Islam dynasty

<sup>5</sup> Those belonging to the Christian dynasty

<sup>6</sup> Those belonging to the Buddhist dynasty

what? (Someone said something.) Is the entrance [in a body] a physical birth? Then will it be said that there are the 84 fathers of the 84 births? So, those of the other religions come later. It means the Narayans who come later on in the Golden Age will be the Narayans of the other religions. They will be the souls who *convert* to the other religions. They won't be firm in the Ancient Deity Religion. So, only the ones who come first have 84 births. Those who come later cannot have as many births. In the Golden Age you don't learn anything, definitely, you will learn in the Confluence Age itself.

So, first of all, you have to give the introduction of the Father. What? When you explain to someone, whether you explain to the people of the world or to the Brahmakumaris who belong to the family, the so-called Brahmins, first what should you explain to them? First of all you have to give them the introduction of the Father. When you have to explain to the people of the world, just general *knowledge* is given: you are a point soul and the Father of you point soul is the Supreme Soul, the Point of light. This is general *knowledge*. This is not the special *knowledge* of the Supreme Soul Father. There is the special *knowledge* of the soul as well as the Supreme Soul, which is called the *advance knowledge*. What is the special *knowledge* of the soul? 'Who am I', which soul am I, to which *group* do I, the soul belong, in which particular religion do I have to play a *part*, in which particular place do I have to play my *part* birth after births? [The soul] should start experiencing this. 'Who am I?', 'Who am I the soul'?

'I, the soul am a point', in fact, all the souls of the world are just points. It is not just all the human souls, but animals, birds, insects, moths, the numerous germs, all are points. So this is not any special introduction, that 'I, a soul am a point.' So just as there is the special individual introduction of the souls, because each soul plays a different *part*, similarly, there is a general introduction of the Supreme Soul [, which is] that, 'the Supreme Soul is a point', then the special introduction is that 'He is a point, and what special *part* is recorded in that Point?' So, that special *part* was mentioned... what was mentioned in the murlis now? No other religious father in the world is able to do it. Other religious fathers establish only their religion, but the world remains just a hell, the world doesn't transform from hell to heaven but it keeps degrading even more. And what does the Supreme Soul Father do? He transforms the world of hell into heaven. No one else can do this task of making heaven; neither a religious father can do it, nor can a mother of some religion do it.

So first of all you have to give the introduction of the Father. Just like a soul can't be seen, you can understand... what can you understand? Which soul this is. The soul is not visible, but it can be understood what special *part* this soul is going to play. In the same way, you can't see the Supreme Soul; the Supreme Soul won't be visible through these [physical] eyes. Yes, according to His *part*, the Supreme Soul can be identified. You can identify Him through knowledge. You understand through your intellect that He is the Father of us souls. It can be understood through the intellect that He is the Father of us souls. He is called the Supreme Soul. Who? The one who is the Father of us souls. He is the Father of the ones who remain in the soul conscious *stage*; He is their protector. He is not the one who protects the body conscious ones. So, it can be understood through the intellect that He is the Father of souls and not the Father of animals. He is ever pure; the one who is the Father of souls is ever pure. Human beings are sometimes impure and sometimes pure. Some situation arises that they become impure as well. They experience: we have lost vigour, we have fallen, the mind and intellect has degraded, the *indriyaan*<sup>7</sup> have lost their vigour, the *indriyaan* have become

---

<sup>7</sup> Parts of the body used to perform actions and the sense organs

weak, the mind has become weak, the knowledge has leaked out of the intellect. This is experienced by human beings. But the Supreme Soul will not experience this in any situation or in any colour of the company. What? I have degraded. He is always beneficial (Sada Shiva), He is *ever pure*. He has to come and make the impure world pure. If He too experiences impurity in any *stage*, in the colour of the company of whoever He is, in whichever kind of mud He is, if He too experiences impurity, then no one can make the impure ones pure. So He has to come and make the impure world pure. He is ever pure.

So first of all by proving that there is one Father, it will automatically be proved that Krishna is not God of the Gita. What? If it is proved that God of the Gita is the One incorporeal Father, then it will automatically be removed from the intellect that the other one... because there will be only one God, so the other one, whom we consider as God cannot be God. It will also be proved that God of the Gita is not Krishna. You children have to prove and say that only the one Father is called the *truth*, that Father is *ever pure*, He never becomes impure. Only the one who is *ever pure* is worshipped. The impure one is never worshipped. Only purity is worshipped. Temples are built for deities and they are worshipped, Shivbaba's temples are built and He is worshipped. No temples are built for the Sanyasis to worship them, because they are not *ever pure*. What? When they come in contact with a woman, they will become impure [and] when they go to the *jungle*, they will be able to remain pure. So will they be called ever pure? They are not ever pure. So, this topic has to be understood deeply, that everyone can remain pure while living in a pure atmosphere, but the One who remains pure while living in an impure atmosphere, in mire, and the One who stays pure forever, who never becomes impure, only He is *ever pure*. The rest of all the people won't be called *pure*. Only the Father is said to be *truth*. Only the One who is *ever pure* is *truth* and what about the rest? All the rest are certainly false to some extent. This world is anyway a land of falsehood. You have just been falling by [reading] the scriptures etc. of the path of *bhakti*, because, who are the ones who wrote them? Who are the writers of the scriptures of the path of *bhakti*? The Vedas and scriptures are shown in the hands of Ravan, so Ravan himself would have been their creator. While having rebirths, the [number of the] celestial degrees decrease. Whose? For whom will the number of celestial degrees decrease? The one who comes in the cycle of the birth and death of doubt and faith, the number of his celestial degrees will keep decreasing. So, what should you do? You should always have a faithful intellect. Once you recognised the Father through the eyes of knowledge, then the faith should never break. If the faith breaks in between, then there will definitely be degradation.

So, do the residents of Bharat (*Bharatvasis*) or the foreigners become the most impure? (A student: The *Bharatvasis*.) The *Bharatvasis*, why? It is because the *Bharatvasis* come in the cycle of birth and death of the 84 [births] the most. The foreigners, of the other countries, those belonging to other religions neither come a lot in the cycle of birth and death, nor is there so much degradation of their soul in the form of the mind and intellect. So, the *shooting* is happening here. What *shooting* is there? Yes, there is the *shooting* of the soul as well. Now we may record (perform) this '*shooting*' as we wish. If we come again and again in the cycle of faith and doubt, then there will definitely be degradation of the soul. So, the number of celestial degrees kept decreasing while having rebirths.

All the topics about rites and rituals, pilgrimages are in the scriptures of the path of *bhakti*, aren't they? Why did we entangle ourselves in rites and rituals? In the beginning of

the Copper Age, only one *Shivling*<sup>8</sup> was worshipped and in it a diamond [the memorial of] the point of light was embedded. The intellect was made to be attracted towards that [diamond], so we should have remembered just the diamond. Then later on, how did these numerous rites and rituals come from? So certainly, someone must have enabled us to start the unadulterated *bhakti*. He surely is the highest on high guru. Later on the adulterous *bhakti* (*vyabhicaari bhakti*) started. So, there certainly were some bodily gurus to start it too.

So, all these topics of the rites and rituals, pilgrimages etc. due to which the number of celestial degrees keep decreasing are in the scriptures of the path of *bhakti*. There is no description of these [topics] in the knowledge. Knowledge doesn't say: perform these rites and rituals of various kinds, go to pilgrimage places, have a bath in the Ganges. No. There are no scriptures here. The Father comes and explains all the secrets. What? Here, it is not about reading the written scriptures. What is the principle here? Here the Father Himself comes face to face, and explains everything through a mouth, *mouth to mouth*. When someone else narrates [the topics] that were explained, then something will certainly be mixed in it. So, will the knowledge *degrade* or will it remain as it is? It will *degrade* to some extent. Alright, there is the *tape* [recorder]... the *tape* [recorder] will play [the murli] as it is, it will record the voice just the way it is spoken. But it is not so. Even the *tape* [recorder] will be *second stage* (second class). Why? Because the vibrations of the narrator won't be in it. There won't be the atmosphere. There won't be the *power* of *drishti* (the power transmitted through the eyes). And when the Father narrates [knowledge], He narrates it face to face, it has the *power* of *drishti*, there are [His] *vibrations* in it, and there is the atmosphere. So, there are no scriptures here. The scriptures are written by human beings. They will have an effect according to the human beings who narrate and write them, whereas here, the Father comes and explains face to face, He tells [you] all the secrets.

First of all, you children will gain victory [by proving the point]: there is one incorporeal God, He is not corporeal. What was said? [By explaining] which topic will you gain victory first? You will gain victory by explaining this very topic: will the Supreme Soul be the One with an incorporeal *stage* or will He be in the corporeal *stage*? Only the One with an incorporeal *stage* is the Supreme Soul; the Supreme Soul is just incorporeal. Just like the other religious fathers who established [other] religions have been shown in the incorporeal *stage*, the One who is the Father of those religious fathers, [the Father] of the fathers will be in a greater incorporeal *stage*. So, at first you children have to gain victory [by explaining] this very topic. You have to gain victory [by proving] this very point. You have to explain this very topic first. The Supreme Father Supreme Soul God Shiva says: The Ocean of Knowledge, the Father of all...

Morning *class* of the 27.07.90 in the end of the middle portion of *page* no.62 of *register* no. 13, meaning the *vani* of the end of the middle part of the first *page*. We were discussing the topic: here, there are no scriptures written by human beings. Here, the Father sits in front [of the children] and explains all the secrets. So at first you children will gain victory [by explaining] the topic that there is one incorporeal God, a corporeal human being cannot be God. The Supreme Father Supreme Soul God Shiva speaks, "That One is the Ocean of Knowledge, the Father of all." Krishna cannot be the Father of all. Whose father will Krishna be? Krishna himself is corporeal, so who will be the father of a corporeal being? The father of a corporeal being will be corporeal. How many children can a corporeal being have? Krishna cannot say to anyone, "Leave all the bodily religions and remember me

<sup>8</sup> An oblong stone worshipped all over India as the memorial of Shiva

alone.” Why can’t he say that? Because he himself is body conscious. If the one who says, ‘Remember me alone’ is himself corporeal, what will he make the others as well? He will make [them] just corporeal, how can he make [them] incorporeal? Because the corporeal human beings themselves... what do they have? They have selfishness. Corporeal being means the one with a chariot. ‘Swa’, ‘rath’, the one who does [actions] for his chariot is *swartha* (selfish), meaning corporeal. And what about the Supreme Soul? He is anyway incorporeal, He doesn’t have His own chariot, so the one who doesn’t have a chariot at all how will He be selfish? He alone can be without desires. All the rest, all those who are present in this world have desires. No human being can be without desires. So, it is a very easy topic to understand and explain, that an incorporeal being can be God, a corporeal being cannot be God. But human beings have become firm in *bhakti* by reading scriptures etc. They read the scriptures written by human beings, so they became firm [in *bhakti*] by reading them, whether they are the scriptures of the outside world or the scriptures of the Confluence Age world of Brahmins, the pages of the murlis. Who wrote them as well, who printed them? God? Human beings printed them. So, whatever human beings do, something or the other of their own will definitely be mixed in it. [For example] there is a pot full of milk and a drop of snake poison is added to it, then what will happen? The whole of it will become poison.

So, the vani narrated by the Supreme Soul will have an effect only when [heard] face to face, it will affect those who listen to it face to face. There will be magic in it. When human beings narrate it again, they will *mix* something [of their own] in it, they will *mix* [something] when they write it, also when they print it, some mistakes will be left in it. Because of something being mixed in it, the whole of it becomes poison. Instead of knowledge (*gyan*) it becomes ignorance (*agyan*). So, human beings become firm in the path of *bhakti* by reading the scriptures. Just like here, after reading the pages of the murlis... on the top it is written again and again... what is written in the murlis? ‘*Pitashri Shivbaba yaad hai?*’ (Do you remember Pitashri Shivbaba?). So who became Shivbaba? Pitashri Brahma. Reading the same murlis daily and repeatedly, by practicing it daily, it became firm in the intellect that ‘Pitashri Brahma’ alone is the form of God. So, when such a *foundation* is laid from the beginning itself, that becomes firm. So this topic that ‘Pitashri Brahma’ himself is Shivbaba sat firmly in the intellect, then to remove this topic that has become firm [in the intellect] ... (Student must have said something.) Yes, it should be explained. First this topic will have to be made firm: the Supreme Soul is incorporeal.

Nowadays the scriptures etc. are kept in vehicles and are taken on a *parikramaa*<sup>9</sup>. (Student must have said something.) Yes, they will keep the scriptures in a vehicle, a *truck* and they take it on a *parikramaa*. When? Nowadays. They didn’t do this in the old days but nowadays they started doing this. Of when is this a memorial too? (Someone must have said something.) How? (Someone said something.) Who? In which *truck* do they take it? (Students: the truck like intellect.) No. *Truck* means a vehicle, the body in the form of a vehicle, the body in the form of a *car* or a *truck*. The one who makes more *purushaarth*, the one who carries more *load* is a *truck* and if it carries lesser *load*, it is a *car*. (Student said something.) Yes. So, the one who becomes Shivbaba’s chariot is a *truck*. (Someone said something.) Yes. In the Confluence Age, that *truck* must have carried the load of some scripture and been taken on *parikramaa* in the whole of Bharat. So, as a memorial of it, in the temples of Jagannath they keep the scriptures in a *truck* and take it on a *parikramaa*. So, nowadays, they take a *parikramaa* keeping the scriptures etc. in a vehicle. (Someone said

<sup>9</sup> To walk around in reverence, to circumambulate

something.) Yes, wherever there are the temples of Jagannath, they keep the scriptures in a *truck* and keeping the scriptures, keeping his (Jagannath) idol, they take it on a *parikramaa*. They take even the pictures and the Granth in a *parikramaa*. What? The Sikhs too... yes, they will keep the 'Granth'<sup>10</sup>, and take it on a *parikramaa*. Then they bring it home and put it to sleep (keep it aside). Now you children know that we become Kshatriyas<sup>11</sup>, Vaishyas<sup>12</sup>, Shudras<sup>13</sup> from Deities. What was said? They simply put it to sleep, take it on a *parikramaa*; they know nothing about its meaning. But now we children know the secret of this topic. What? What secret do we know? The body in the form of *truck* in which the souls of Ram and Krishna sit and take the scriptures on a *parikramaa*, go house to house and give the message, later, when the cycle of the *shooting* rotates, they themselves play a special *part* in that cycle. What *part*? The *part* of a *satopradhan* Deity in the *shooting* of the Golden Age, the *part* of a *Kshatriya* in the *shooting* of the Silver Age, the *part* of a *Vaishya* meaning a vicious [person] in the Copper Age and the *part* of a *Shudra* in the Iron Age. So, to play a *part* is one thing and the reality is another thing. Just like someone goes on stage and plays the *part* of a donkey, so is he [actually] a donkey? (Someone said something.) Yes, if the actor knows his *part*, he just plays the *part* of a donkey; he isn't a donkey [in reality]. The ones who see this, the ones who believe in the scriptures (*shastrvadi*), who firmly belong to the path of *bhakti* are unable to understand the depth of this topic. They think, he who rolls over again and again in the soil of body consciousness will only be a donkey. So they see him in that form and the form in which they have seen him, they made dirty pictures in the Jagannath temple accordingly.

So now you children know that we become *Kshatriyas*, *Vaishyas*, *Shudras* from Deities, we take this round in the *shooting period* as well as in the *broad drama*. Instead of the cycle, they take them (the scriptures) on a round and then keep it in the house and put it to sleep. They have a fixed day. Who? To take it on *parikramaa*, they have a fixed day. When you take it on *parikramaa*, first you have to prove and explain that it isn't God Shri Krishna who speaks. When [the scriptures] are taken on a *parikramaa*, you have to prove and explain that it isn't God Shri Krishna who speaks but it is God Shiva who speaks. Who spoke? The Point (*bindi*), the one whom you consider to be the incorporeal God can't speak. What do they understand when you say 'God Shiva spoke'? [They think] that the Point spoke. Neither the Point speaks nor does God Krishna speak. Krishna is certainly not God. Who speaks in reality? The one for whom it is said, 'God spoke', it is the One with an incorporeal *stage*, who speaks.

Shiva alone is free from rebirth. You wouldn't have heard anywhere in the scriptures that Krishna is free from rebirth. What? That Krishna and Balaram are free from rebirth. No. For them they say that the ones who were born as Ram and Lakshman themselves became Krishna and Balaram after going to the Copper Age. So, they weren't free from rebirth. They became the ones who are born, the ones who come in the cycle of birth and death. So, Shiva alone is free from rebirth. Which is the deity who is said to be free from rebirth? Only Shankar is such a deity who is said to be free from rebirth, who is said to be *ajanma*<sup>14</sup>. What is the unlimited meaning? That he doesn't come in the cycle of faith and doubt in the form of

---

<sup>10</sup> Holy book of the Sikhs

<sup>11</sup> Members of the warrior class

<sup>12</sup> Members of the merchant class

<sup>13</sup> The fourth and lowest division in the Indo-Aryan society

<sup>14</sup> The one who is never born



birth and death. He is the only soul who plays such a *part* in the Confluence Age *shooting period*, who comes to the field first; he never comes in the cycle of faith and doubt. He has unshakable faith, the memorial of the pole star (*dhruv tara*) pertains to him.

So He (Shiva) is free from rebirths. He definitely comes. It is not that if He is not in the cycle of birth and death, He doesn't come in this world at all. He comes in the world and despite coming down to this world... "O! Purifier of the sinful ones, come"... whom did the sinful call? They called the *ever pure* [saying:] You too, come down. We have already fallen, what should You do as well? You too, fall. A man who drowns wishes to get support. [He wishes] someone to come and dive to take them up from down. So, He definitely comes. It is not that He just sits above. He certainly comes down, into the degraded *stage*, but His birth is divine. How is His coming as well? It is divine. His birth is full of divinity.

He comes and rides on Bhagirath. Whom does He ride? The fortunate chariot (*bhagyashali rath*). They have taken a wrong meaning of Bhagirath itself. The one who finished his body due to a *heart* failure, he was named Bhagirath. The one whose body was finished while coughing was named Bhagirath. *Arey!* Bhagirath is the one who transforms his chariot (body) into a golden body (*kancan kaya*) in this very birth. So, that Bhagirath will be praised in the world, the fortunate chariot. He comes and makes the impure ones pure; through whom? Through Bhagirath. Or does He simply go back without making them pure? When He comes in Bhagirath, what will He do through that fortunate chariot? Will He purify the sinful ones or will He go back without making them pure? Then will he be called Bhagirath? Bhagirath is the one through whose contact and connection other souls also obtain their fortune.

The Father comes and explains the secrets of the beginning, the middle and the end of the Creator and the creation. [He explains] the *knowledge* which no human being knows. [He explains] who the Creator and His *first class* creation is. So tell Me, who is the Creator and who is His *first class* creation? He explains the secret of the beginning, the middle and the end of the Creator and the creation. (Someone said: The creator is Prajapita and the creation is...) Yes, the creator should certainly be corporeal. Only through that corporeal being, the Point, the Point of light Father plays the *part* of the Creator. So He is the Creator of the new world, of the new world of the Golden Age. And who is the *first class* creation? The *first class* creation is *Brahma so Vishnu*. That is the *first class* creation. What is the *part* of the creation in the beginning, the middle and the end? In the beginning, who is the *first class* creation? Krishna. In the beginning of the Golden Age he will be Krishna, in the middle he will be King Vikramaditya<sup>15</sup> and in the end? In the end he is Dada Lekhraj again. Dada Lekhraj is the *first class* creation, the child. Who is the *first class* creation? A child. Only the child, who reveals his Father is the *first class* creation of the Father. So He explains the secret of the beginning, the middle and the end of the Creator and the creation, [He explains] the *knowledge* which no one else knows. The Father has to come and give His introduction on His own. What? No one else can give His **accurate** introduction. Firstly, He comes on His own and gives His introduction on coming. The main thing is the introduction of the Father. All the other topics of knowledge are secondary, general but what is the main topic? To give the introduction of the Father. Consider it to be the main knowledge.

'Omnipresent' is a word of great defamation. Then which is the word of praise? He is 'present in one being' (*ekvyapi*). He comes in one being to make the whole world pure from

<sup>15</sup> the king who built the temple of Somnath

impure. He does not purify the sinful ones by coming in many. What will all do if He comes in many to purify the sinful? Will they make a connection of the *indriyaan* with many or with one? (Students said something). Then what kind of world will be created? Will an adulterous world of hell, meaning a brothel (*vaishyalaya*) be made or will the temple of Shiva (*shivalaya*) be made? Then a brothel (*vaishyalaya*) will be created. So, the creators of the brothel announce publicly, 'God is omnipresent'. [They say:] "We as well as you are the purifiers of the sinful. Listen to us, listen to them, listen to all, then you will attain true liberation. Whoever sits on the *sandali*<sup>16</sup>, think that Shivbaba Himself narrates knowledge to you, think that Shivbaba Himself gives you *drishti*." Then what will happen? God became omnipresent. So in reality, the first and main thing is to give the introduction of the Father. 'Omnipresent' is a word of great defamation. Some say through their mouth that '[God] is omnipresent' and some *act* in such a way that all may understand that the Supreme Soul has come in all, the *didis*, *dadis* and *dadas*.

They have taken it from the Gita itself, that 'the Supreme Soul is omnipresent'. Which is our Gita? The 'murlis'. In the murlis that has been written by the human beings, in which they have written 'God Krishna spoke', 'Pitashri God Brahma spoke' and so on... so, from this itself the meaning comes out. What? Because they falsified the very meanings of it. Earlier, the scriptures didn't have such meanings, later they were wrongly interpreted. So, the whole confusion has occurred only because of considering Krishna to be God. From where did they take the word 'omnipresent'? They took it from the Gita itself. It is written in the Gita itself, 'God Shri Krishna spoke.' The corporeal Krishna became God, *Pitashri Brahma* became God, he became Shivbaba. So, it has been taken from the Gita and they have shown the acts of Krishna in the Bhagwat. Which is the Bhagwat of the Confluence Age world of Brahmins, in which there is the story of [Krishna] making [the *gopis*] run? A wonderful story (*adbhut katha*), a wonderful act (*adbhut karma*)? A wonderful life-story, '*kahani*' means a story (*katha*). So the story of [Krishna] making the 300 to 400 *gop* and *gopi*<sup>17</sup> elope, which is written in that book, who did those wonderful actions? Did the old Brahma do that work? No. *Arey!* It was the work of Shivbaba. Shivbaba completed this work through Prajapita [but] whose name did they put? Of the creation Brahma. *Arey!* Brahma himself is the senior mother, so definitely there will also be a senior father. So, they made this mistake. So the whole confusion is due to considering Krishna, Brahma to be God. If the name of Krishna is removed, the *biography*, acts etc. everything will be removed. What? The *biography* of Brahma that has been shown in 'A wonderful life-story', that whole *biography* will also be removed. When will it be removed? When from the Gita, the true Gita... which is the true Gita of the Brahmins? The murlis. When the name of 'Pitashri Brahma' is removed from the murlis, then the *biography*, acts etc. everything will be removed. You will prove that Krishna is not God of the Gita. What? Krishna is not God of the Gita. God of the Gita will establish the capital. He will destroy the old world, the old gathering. The other religious fathers don't do this work. The Supreme Soul Father comes and does this work. He does the establishment of a true religion and the destruction of the false religions. He doesn't establish the false capital. He establishes the true capital, the true capital of heaven. And all the other kingdoms that are present in the world are destroyed.

So, when you prove it and tell [this to people], your name will become very famous. When will your name become famous, through what? Your name will become famous only

<sup>16</sup> Seat on which Baba sits during class

<sup>17</sup> *Gop-Gopi* – cow-herds and herd girls who were devoted to Krishna

by [proving] the fact, 'Krishna is not God of the Gita'. The so-called Brahmakumar-kumaris announced publicly that the incorporeal Shiva, the Point of Light is God of the Gita, the corporeal Krishna is not God of the Gita. The announcement was made publicly everywhere, in the country as well as abroad, the voice spread. Then? Then what happened? Did anyone's name become very famous? No, it didn't. It cannot happen. The ones who announce it publicly themselves don't know the depth of this topic; they themselves do the mistake that the worldly people of the path of *bhakti* did. What mistake did they do? Instead of the incorporeal One, they put the name of the corporeal Krishna, they put the name of a corporeal deity. Similarly, what did the Brahmakumar-kumaris do? Instead of Shivbaba, they put the name of Pitashri Brahma. So, they did the same mistake that the worldly people did. The mistake that the worldly people are doing, the gurus who teach are doing the same. It is like a guru who himself eats brinjal and asks others not to eat it (*aap guruji baingan khayee, duusre ko tarkiib sikhaaye ki baingan nahi khana cahiye*). Then will the followers accept? It can't have any effect on them.

Second *page*. When you children meet together, have a *meeting* about what method you should adopt so that [the existence of] God of the Gita is proved. What method should you adopt? The topic of war etc. has been written in the Gita because there is the name of Krishna in it. If the name of Krishna wouldn't have been put in the Gita, there would have been no question of war, because only corporeal beings fight a war and make others fight. The incorporeal Point of Light, the One who is in an incorporeal *stage* won't teach to fight. Those who are body conscious fight and make others fight. The one who isn't body conscious at all will make others stabilize in the incorporeal *stage*; he will teach them to become *nihsankalpi*<sup>18</sup>. He will tell them the hidden course of deeds: if somebody has given you sorrow, he won't stop giving you sorrow if you fight. When will he stop [fighting]? When it sits in your intellect that until your account of actions of the previous births are pending (to be settled), someone can give you sorrow and when those accounts are over, no one can give you sorrow. So the Father will teach you to stay in the incorporeal, *nihsankalpi stage*. He will not teach you to fight with anyone. It is not about a physical war.

So, prepare such a leaflet and paste pictures etc. on it ... what was said? Make such a leaflet. What kind of a leaflet should you make? That God of the Gita, God of the true Gita, the murlis, the Gita, God the husband of the mother Gita is not Krishna, meaning Brahma. Prepare such a leaflet. Also paste pictures etc. in it, [to show] who Gita Mata (mother Gita) is. They considered the book to be Gita Mata. *Arey!* Will a book of paper be a mother? *Arey!* A mother is living. Just like they said Mother Earth (*dharni mata*), Mother India (Bharat mata), so what did people think? Bharat, Bharat mata is the name of some land. *Arey!* When it is said Bharat mata, then there will certainly be a father (*pita*) too with her. There will be children as well [meaning] the *Bharatvasis* (residents of India) who receive sustenance on the lap of the mother and father. So they forgot that. Similarly, what did the so called Brahmakumar-kumaris do here? They wrote about war etc. and printed the *photo* of Brahma. Now what should you do? Prepare such a leaflet, paste pictures etc. in it as well. (Someone said something.) Yes, which picture should you paste? Paste the picture of the incorporeal God, God the husband of the Gita, who is in the incorporeal *stage* as well as the picture of Gita mata, who is Brahma.

---

<sup>18</sup> Beyond thoughts

One is the titleholder Brahma, the one with beard and moustache, the one who twitches his moustaches, paste his picture as well and secondly, the one who is the *original* Brahma, '*Brahm*' means senior and '*ma*' means mother; the senior mother of the whole world. It is said 'You alone are the Mother and the Father', isn't it? So, the one who comes in the form of a Mother as well as a Father, the whole world will accept those Mother and Father. The **whole** world. The whole world accepts only Adam and Eve. What? It is not that they would accept Brahma to be Eve as well as Adam. No, he wasn't revealed in front of the whole world at all, he left the body and went away before that, so how will the whole world accept him? So, paste pictures etc. in it, [paste pictures] of the Mother as well as the Father. Then, you should drop those leaflets from an *aeroplane*. You should write in those leaflets that this is the reason Bharat has become poor. Why did Bharat become poor? The reason is that they made Krishna, the child, God of the Gita. So, when such injustice is done, that the child is made into the husband of the Gita in the place of the Father... even Gita mata forgets this. What? Which topic? 'Who is my husband?' Like it happens in Russia... what happens in Russia? A child is born. After being born, he is... (Someone said something.) No. He is put in a *nursing home*. When he grows up in the *nursing home*, he is put in a *hostel*, a *nursery school*. When he grows up, he is put in a higher *hostel*. When he grows up further, he is put in a *training school* and when he comes out of the *training school*, he becomes the property of the nation. Now he is doing a government job and lives in hotels. The child grows up into a young man of 17-18 years and he doesn't even know who his mother is. After becoming 20, 21, 22, 25 years old, if his mother comes in front of him, will he be able to recognise her? No. So, the child is a poor child! And the mother will be *aged*. She doesn't know at all who her child is. So, while living in hotels, spending their life in hotels, can't there be contact and connection between them? So, what is this? There are such countries in this world itself where there is no information of God the Father, the Creator and the creation. They don't even know who the Father is and who the child is. So, the *Bharatvasis* committed such an injustice, beyond which there is no bigger injustice; [such] unrighteousness. They made the child the Father, the husband of the Gita. Even Gita mata doesn't know who her husband of many births is in reality. So, what becomes the condition of Bharat when it reaches such a *stage*? Bharat becomes poor. He has been shown as a *beggar*. Poor in what way? The foreigners give him alms. So, write in it (the leaflet) that Bharat has become poor because of this. Then the Father comes and makes him *right*. He makes the poor Bharat, the *beggar* Bharat from *beggar to prince*. The Father keeps explaining the main topics. If you gain victory [by proving this] one main topic, then that's all; consider that you have become victorious. [End of the cassette].